UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,310	09/09/2003	Ed H. Frank	14177US02	2145
	7590 07/28/201 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MA	DISON STREET	JOHNSON, CARLTON		
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2436	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Aı	oplication No.	Applicant(s)		
		1	0/658,310	FRANK ET AL.		
		Ex	caminer	Art Unit		
		C/	ARLTON V. JOHNSON	2436		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appear	s on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA DISTORY OF THE MA DISTORY OF THE MA DISTORY OF THE MA DISTORY OF THE MAN DISTORY OF THE	ILING DATE 37 CFR 1.136(a) nication. tory period will ap II, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNICATIO In no event, however, may a reply be tiply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a)⊠	Since this application is in condition for	o)∏ This act or allowance	ion is non-final. except for formal matters, pr		e merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex p</i>	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn f				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objecti Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepte on to the draw ne correction i	ving(s) be held in abeyance. Se is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority เ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	O-948)	4)	oate		
-	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2436

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to application amendments filed on 5-18-2010.

2. Claims 1 - 42 are pending. Claims 1, 15, 29 are independent. This application

was filed on 9-9-2003.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and they are not persuasive.

3.1 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, "from an access

point perspective.

There does not appear to be disclosure for Applicant's assertion (Remarks Page 12,

Lines 12-15) that each claim limitation for Claim 1 is from an access point's perspective.

Only the first claim limitation mentions an access point as a claim limitation. There does

not appear to disclosure for this assertion within the specification. The language of the

claim limitation has to be interpreted as stated. The access point is only disclosed in

claim limitation 1 and not in the other two claim limitations.

3.2 Applicant argues that the referenced prior art does not disclose, Claim 1

limitations.

Bridgelall discloses the claim limitations for Claim 1 as follows:

Channel 336 (first PHY channel)

receiving on a first PHY channel of an access point, a request for initiation of

Application/Control Number: 10/658,310

Art Unit: 2436

a communication session from an originating access device; (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables user to conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 7, lines 33-36: mobile unit (wireless device) posts a request to network via channel 336)

Page 3

Channel 338 (second PHY channel)

authenticating said communication session by authenticating said access
using a second PHY channel; (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables user to
conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 7, lines 39 66: connection management service request via dedicated channel 338 or
340; authentication center provides authentication request to mobile over
dedicated channel; mobile initiates authentication response over dedicated
channel; response executes a cellular authentication and voice encryption
algorithm; algorithm produces a registration authentication result which is
provide to service provider)

Channel 342 (first PHY channel)

hosting said communication session over a third PHY channel, said third PHY channel established between said access point and said originating access device. (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables user to conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 8, lines 4-9: network assigns traffic channel for transmission of user data; assignment command from network and assignment complete message from mobile; communication on new channel 342)

Art Unit: 2436

Three different PHY channels are utilized to perform the three separate steps of Claim 1. In other disclosures, Bridgelall discloses that an authentication process is between the mobile and access point. (see Bridgelall col 9, lines 17-23: authentication process between mobile unit and access point)

3.3 Applicant argues Bridgelall discloses only wireless communications.

Bridgelall discloses wireless and wired communications. (see Bridgelall col 3, lines 15-18: connections can be o any standard wired or wireless configuration; col 5, lines 23-34: WLAN implemented as an extension to wired local network; access point connects to wired network; buffers and transmits between wireless LAN and wired L:AN)

3.4 Applicant argues all channels are attached to radio or mobile device.

Each channel has two endpoints. The mobile unit is one endpoint and the access point (which facilitates communications to other nodes in the network) is the other endpoint. There is no disclosure that the other endpoint has to be the access point since the access point is only mentioned in the first claim limitation for Claim 1.

3.5 Applicant argues dependent claims 6 - 9, 12 - 14, 20 - 23, 26 - 28, 40 - 42; dependent claims 10, 24.

Arguments against dependent claims are answered by responses to independent claims.

3.6 Applicant argues independent claims 15, 29.

Art Unit: 2436

Independent claims 15 and 29 have similar limitations as independent claim 1.

Responses to arguments for independent claim 1 answer arguments against

independent claims 15 and 29.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 6 - 9, 15, 20 - 23, 29, 34 - 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Bridgelall** (US Patent No. 7,039,027)

With Regards to Claims 1, 15, 29, Bridgelall discloses a method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system for multiple encryption in a multi-band multi-protocol hybrid wired/wireless network, the method comprising:

a) receiving on a first PHY channel of an access point, a request for initiation of a communication session from an originating access device; (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables user to conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 7, lines 33-36: mobile unit (wireless device) posts a request to network

via channel 336)

- b) authenticating said communication session by authenticating said access using a second PHY channel; (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables user to conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 7, lines 39 66: connection management service request via dedicated channel 338 or 340; authentication center provides authentication request to mobile over dedicated channel; mobile initiates authentication response over dedicated channel; response executes a cellular authentication and voice encryption algorithm; algorithm produces a registration authentication result which is provide to service provider) and
- c) hosting said communication session over a third PHY channel, said third PHY channel established between said access point and said originating access device. (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables user to conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 8, lines 4-9: network assigns traffic channel for transmission of user data; assignment command from network and assignment complete message from mobile; communication on new channel 342)

With Regards to Claims 6, 20, 34, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system according to claims 1, 15, 29, comprising receiving an identification of said originating access device by said access point. (see Bridgelall col. 7, line 61 - col. 8, line

2: message indicates type of service, user number, and identification of the mobile (wireless device))

With Regards to Claims 7, 21, 35, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having one code section, system according to claims 6, 20, 34, wherein said identity of said originating access device is one or more of a WEP key, a MAC address, and/or an IP address. (see Bridgelall col. 12, lines 29-38: communication with MAC layer; devices connected to the physical layer are under the direction of a MAC management routine (MAC address); MAC layer implies a MAC address; col 12, lines 42-46: internet protocol layer, data delivery using TCP (IP address))

With Regards to Claims 8, 22, 36, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system according to claims 1, 15, 29, comprising acknowledging said received request on said first PHY channel. (see Bridgelall col. 7, lines 36-39: network provides a channel assignment via channel 334 which provides parameters for access to dedicated channel for call setup (acknowledgement))

With Regards to Claims 9, 23, 37, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system according to claims 1, 15, comprising determining a type of traffic generated by said originating access device on said first PHY channel. (see Bridgelall col. 7, line 67 -

col 8, lines 1: call setup indicates the type of service required (type of traffic))

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 5, 10, 11, 16 19, 24, 25, 30 33, 38, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Bridgelall** in view of **He et al.** (US Patent No. 6,088,451).

With Regards to Claims 2, 16, 30, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section according to claims 1, 15 with at least one encryption/decryption key for use during said communication session. (see Bridgelall col. 9, lines 31-42: mobile station (wireless device) has received a key through secure channel; mobile station provides an authentication response to access point; authentication status transmitted to mobile station (wireless device))

Bridgelall does not specifically disclose generating encryption/decryption key.

However, He discloses wherein further comprising generating. (see He col. 18, lines 2-5; col. 19, lines 8-11; col. 20, lines 57-61; generation encryption/decryption key)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bridgelall for generation encryption/decryption key as taught by He. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of He for network-wide centralized user administration and authentication, credential management and network element access. (see He col.1, lines 59-63)

With Regards to Claims 3, 17, 31 Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system according to claims 2, 17, 31, wherein said authenticating comprises requesting authentication information from an authentication server. (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables user to conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 7, lines 39 - 66: connection management service request via dedicated channel 338 or 340; authentication center provides authentication request to mobile over dedicated channel; mobile initiates authentication response over dedicated channel; response executes a cellular authentication and voice encryption algorithm; algorithm produces a registration authentication result which is provide to service provider; (authentication center)

With Regards to Claims 4, 18, 32, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system according to claims 3, 17, 31, wherein said authenticating comprises delivering at least a portion of said authentication information received from said authentication

server to said originating access device via said second PHY channel. (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables user to conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 7, lines 39 - 66: connection management service request via dedicated channel 338 or 340; authentication center provides authentication request to mobile over dedicated channel; mobile initiates authentication response over dedicated channel; response executes a cellular authentication and voice encryption algorithm; algorithm produces a registration authentication result which is provide to service provider; dedicated channel is second PHY channel)

With Regards to Claims 5, 19, 33, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system according to claims 4, 18, 32, wherein comprising delivering said at least one encryption/decryption key to said originating access device via one of said first PHY channel or said second PHY channel. (see Bridgelall col. 9, lines 31-42: mobile station (wireless device) has received a key through secure channel; mobile station provides an authentication response to access point; authentication status transmitted to mobile station (wireless device))

With Regards to Claims 10, 24, 38, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system according to claims 9, 23, 37, further comprising at least one key dependent on said determined traffic type. (see Bridgelall col. 9, lines 31-42: mobile station (wireless

device) has received a key through secure channel; mobile station provides an authentication response to access point; authentication status transmitted to mobile station (wireless device))

Bridgelall does not specifically disclose generating encryption/decryption key.

However, He discloses wherein comprising generating at least one
encryption/decryption key. (see He col. 18, lines 2-5; col. 19, lines 8-11; col. 20, lines
57-61: generation encryption/decryption key)

Motivation for He to disclose generating an encryption/decryption key is as stated in Claim 2 above.

With Regards to Claims 11, 25, 39, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system according to claims 10, 24, 38, wherein comprising distributing said at least one encryption/decryption key via at one or both of said second PHY channel and/or said third PHY channel. (see Bridgelall col. 9, lines 31-42: mobile station (wireless device) has received a key through secure channel; mobile station provides an authentication response to access point; authentication status transmitted to mobile station (wireless device))

He discloses generating an encryption/decryption key is as stated in Claim 2 above.

8. Claims 12 - 14, 26 - 28, 40 - 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being

unpatentable over **Bridgelall** in view of **Sheth et al.** (US Patent No. **7,325,058**).

With Regards to Claims 12, 26, 40, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section according to claims 1, 15, 29, further comprising establishing at least one channel between said originating access device and a terminating access device. (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables user to conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 7, lines 33-36: mobile unit (wireless device) posts a request to network via channel 336)

Bridgelall does not specifically disclose a virtual channel.

However, Sheth discloses establishing a virtual channel. (see Sheth col. 6, lines 62-67: virtual circuit or channel is a logical circuit for reliable communications between two network devices; virtual circuit (channel) identified by Virtual Path Identifier; col. 7, lines 19-31: limiting access to networks associated with a virtual circuit (channel); tunnel ID determined based upon domain name and virtual circuit (channel) identifier))

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bridgelall tfor a virtual channel as taught by Sheth. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of Sheth for more secure control over which domains a particular subscriber may connect to using the widely used PPP protocol to combat intrusion such as denial of service attacks. (see Sheth col. 4, lines 24-26)

With Regards to Claims 13, 27, 41, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable

storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system according to claims 12, 26, 40, comprises transferring information between said originating access device and said terminating access device. (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables user to conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 7, lines 39 - 66: connection management service request via dedicated channel 338 or 340; authentication center provides authentication request to mobile over dedicated channel; mobile initiates authentication response over dedicated channel; response executes a cellular authentication and voice encryption algorithm; algorithm produces a registration authentication result which is provide to service provider)

Bridgelall does not specifically disclose tunneling

However, Sheth discloses tunneling information between originating access device and terminating access device. (see Sheth col. 6, lines 62-67: virtual circuit or channel is a logical circuit for reliable communications between two network devices; virtual circuit (channel) identified by Virtual Path Identifier; col. 7, lines 19-31: limiting access to networks associated with a virtual circuit (channel); tunnel ID determined based upon domain name and virtual circuit (channel) identifier))

Motivation for Sheth to disclose tunneling is as stated in Claim 12 above.

With Regards to Claims 14, 28, 42, Bridgelall discloses the method, machine-readable storage having stored upon a computer program having at least one code section, system according to claims 12, 26, 40, comprising establishing at least a portion of said at least one channel over at least a portion of one of said first PHY channel, said second PHY channel or said third PHY channel. (see Bridgelall col 6, lines 7-9: enables

user to conduct communications via the network via an access point; col. 7, lines 33-36: mobile unit (wireless device) posts a request to network via channel 336)

Sheth discloses a virtual channel as stated in Claim 12 above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlton V. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-270-1032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 -5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

Art Unit: 2436

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David García Cervetti/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2436

Carlton V. Johnson Examiner Art Unit 2436

CVJ July 19, 2010